MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

MADISON OFFICE BUILDING/SUITE 400 1155 FIFTEENTH STREET, NORTHWEST WASHINGTON, DC 20005

> TELEPHONE 202/659-3900 FACSIMILE 202/659-5763

CHARLESTON OFFICE

140 EAST BAY STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 1431
CHARLESTON, SC 29402
TELEPHONE 803/723-7831
FACSIMILE 803/722-3227

COLUMBIA OFFICE

NATIONSBANK TOWER
1301 GERNAIS STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 11390
COLUMBIA, SC 29211
TELEPHONE 803/799-9800
FACSIMILE 803/799-9804

GEORGETOWN OFFICE

121 SCREVEN STREET
121 SCREVEN STREET
121 SCREVEN STREET
121 SCREVEN STREET
122 SCREVEN STREET
123 SCREVEN STREET
124 SCREVEN SCREVEN
125 SCREVEN STREET
126 SCREVEN STREET
127 SCREVEN

GREENVILLE OFFICE

NATIONSBANK PLAZA SUITE 601 7 NORTH LAURENS STREET GREENVILLE. SC 29601 TELEPHONE 803/271-4940 FACSIMILE 803/271-4015 RALEIGH OFFICE

RALEIGH FEDERAL BUILDING ONE EXCHANGE PLAZA SUITE 810 POST OFFICE BOX 2447 RALEIGH, NC 27802 TELEPHONE 919/890-4190 FACSIMILE 919/890-4180

SPARTANBURG OFFICE

SPARTAN CENTRE/SUITE 306 101 WEST ST. JOHN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 5137 SPARTANBURG, SC 29304 TELEPHONE 803/542-1300 FACSIMILE 803/522-0705

May 25, 1993

RECEIVED

MAY 2 5 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

MM Docket No. 93-107 Channel 280X Westerville, Ohio

Dear Ms. Searcy:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. are an original and six (6) copies of its "Second Motion to Enlarge Issues Against ASF."

Please contact the underesigned in our Washington, D.C. office.

Respectfully submitted,

McNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

John W. Hunter

Bv:

A STATE OF THE STA

Enclosure

B: SEARCY52.FCC

No. of Copies rec'd 746 List A B C D E

### **RECEIVED**

MAY 2 5 1993

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re	Applications of:	)	1
DAVID	A. RINGER	) MM Docket No. 93-107	. /
	et al.,	) File Nos. BPH-911230M	<b>Á</b>
Applications for Construction		) through	l .
Permit for a New FM Station, Channel 280A, Westerville, Ohio		) BPH-911231M	<b>B</b>
To:	Administrative Law Judge Walter C. Miller		
	SECOND MOTION T	O ENLARGE ISSUES AGAINST ASP	

Respectfully submitted,		
MCHAIR & SAMPORD, P.A.		
By:		
By: Stephen T. Yelverton Attorneys for Ohio Radio		
Associates, Inc. 1155 15th Street. W.W Suite 40		

#### SECOND MOTION TO ENLARGE ISSUES AGAINST ASF

Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. ("ORA"), by its attorneys, pursuant to Section 1.229 (b)(1) of the Commission's Rules, hereby submits this motion to enlarge the issues against ASF Broadcasting Corp. ("ASF"). This motion is based on documents exchanged by ASF on May 10, 1993, pursuant to the standard document production, and thus is timely filed within fifteen (15) days of the discovery of new information. In support of its motion to enlarge the issues, ORA submits the following comments.

ASF exchanged a copy of a letter, dated December 17, 1991, from Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. The letter states in pertinent part that Mid-Ohio is "willing to negotiate" and has an "intent to negotiate" with ASF as to use of its transmitter tower and facilities. Moreover, "mutually acceptable terms" would be negotiated in the future. Within sixty (60) days of the date of the letter, ASF was required to make a satisfactory showing to Mid-Ohio of its financial qualifications to enter into lease arrangements for the tower and facilities.

Under long-established Commission policy, ASF does not have "reasonable assurance" of Mid-Ohio's tower site. National Communications Industries, 6 FCC Rcd 1978, 1979, para. 10 (Rev. Bd. 1991), aff'd, 7 FCC Rcd 1703, para. 2 (1992), "reasonable assurance" of the availability of a tower site requires more than a "willingness to deal" on the part of the tower site owner; Rem Malloy Broadcasting, 6 FCC Rcd 5843, 5846, para. 14 (Rev. Bd. 1991), the fact that the site owner could foresee no problem in giving a lease does not constitute "reasonable assurance" where lease terms remain to be negotiated, citing William F. and Anne K. Wallace, 49 FCC2d 1424, 1427 (Rev. Bd. 1974); Adlai E. Stevenson, 5 FCC Rcd 1588, 1589, para. 6 (Rev. Bd. 1990), the fact that the site owner has indicated that he will discuss the possibility of a lease at some future date is insufficient, citing El Camino Broadcasting Corp., 12 FCC2d 25, 26 (Rev. Bd. 1968).

A "willingness to negotiate" does not constitute "reasonable assurance."

Emision de Radio Balmeseda, Inc., 7 FCC Rcd 8629, n. 4 (Rev. Bd. 1992). Although

rent and other <u>details</u> may be negotiated in the future, the basic terms of a

tower lease must be negotiated in order to possess "reasonable assurance." <u>Great</u>

Lakes Broadcasting, Inc., 6 FCC Rcd 4331, 4332, para. 11 (1991), citing National Innovative Programming Network of the East Coast, 2 FCC Rcd 5641, 5643, para. 11 (1987).

An applicant is required to negotiate with the site owner in order to possess "reasonable assurance." <u>Dutchess Communications Corp.</u>, 101 FCC2d 243, 253, para. 14 (Rev. Bd. 1985). Some basic negotiations between the applicant and the site owner must occur in order to constitute "reasonable assurance." <u>Cuban-American Limited</u>, 2 FCC Rcd 3264, 3266, para. 13 (Rev. Bd. 1987), <u>rev. denied</u>, 5 FCC Rcd 3781, para. 2 (1990). Even if a site owner would "favorably consider" use of his property and would at a future date commence "negotiations for finalizing arrangements," this is insufficient. <u>Lee Optical and Associated Cos.</u> Retirement and Pension Fund Trust, 2 FCC Rcd 5480, 5486, para. 23 (Rev. Bd. 1987).

Accordingly, based on applicable Commission precedent, the Mid-Ohio letter does not constitute "reasonable assurance" of a tower site. Therefore, a tower site availability issue must be specified.

Another independent basis to specify a tower site availability issue is the failure of ASF to comply with the express terms of the Mid-Ohio letter. It required ASF to demonstrate to Mid-Ohio within sixty (60) days of the date of the letter its financial qualifications to enter into a tower and facilities lease. Although ASF was required, pursuant to Section 1.325 (c)(1)(F), to produce on May 10, 1993, all documents relating to its proposed tower site, it failed to produce any such documents required to be shown to Mid-Ohio in 1992. Thus, it must be presumed that ASF failed to comply with Mid-Ohio's requirements and conditions precedent to enter into a lease arrangement. The failure of an applicant to meet the express conditions required by a tower site owner raises a substantial and material question of fact as to whether the applicant has "reasonable assurance" of its proposed tower site. Cuban-American Limited, 3266, paras. 12-13.

Accordingly, the Presiding Judge is requested to specify the following

If this issue is specified, ORA requests that all documents, not already exchanged, relating to the proposed tower site of ASF and relating to its contacts with Mid-Ohio, be produced.

WHEREFORE, in view of the foregoing, ORA requests that the foregoing issue be specified against ASF.

Respectfully submitted,

McNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

Stephen T. Yelverton

Attorneys for Ohio Radio

Associates, Inc.

1155 15th St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: 202-659-3900

May 25, 1993

0202979.00001 ORA.80

# MID-OHIO COMMUNICATIONS, INC. Post Office Box 14 Westerville, Ohio 43061

December 17, 1991

Ms. Ardeth Frizzell 164 Grenner Road Columbus, OH 43228

RE: Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc./WBBY-FM/Lease of Assets

Dear Ms. Frizzell:

This correspondence is in regard to your recent inquiry pertaining to the lease of certain real property and personal property owned by Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. or affiliated companies which is utilized in regard to the broadcast operation of WBBY-FM. You have indicated that you are planning to apply for the broadcast license of WBBY-FM, Westerville, Ohio, and this correspondence is to confirm that should the Federal Communications Commission award you the construction permit, Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc., the former licensee of WBBY-FM, is willing to negotiate appropriate leases with you for certain real property and personal property owned by Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. or affiliated companies in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars (\$6,000.00) per month.

The real estate lease and equipment lease which would commence upon the FCC granting your construction permit would include the use of the tower site (tower and building) located at State Route 37, Sunbury, Ohio 43074; studio facilities located at 14 Dorchester Court, Westerville, Ohio 43081; and equipment utilized in the operation of the station. The equipment would include some or perhaps all of the equipment itemized in the inventory accompanying this correspondence. Failure to lease all of the equipment listed in the inventory will not result in a reduced lease package price. This correspondence conveys an intent to negotiate terms of lease agreements and does not in and of itself constitute lease agreements. Although it is contemplated that mutually acceptable terms will be negotiated in regard to the various leases, there is no guarantee of that occurrence.

Within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter, you must provide Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. with a showing of financial qualifications satisfactory to Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. for it to enter into the above-referenced leases. Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. reserves the right to cancel this letter within sixty (60) days of receipt of your financial information. Notwithstanding the above, at the time you receive the construction permit, Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. reserves the right to again review your financial condition to determine if you then have financial qualifications satisfactory to Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. to enter into the above-referenced leases. In regard to a showing of financial strength, if the lessee is a corporation, the principals of lessee will have to personally sign unconditional guarantees in regard to the lease obligations.

Mid-Ohio Communications, Inc. hereby grants you the authority to specify WBBY-FM's transmitter location in your FCC application. We wish you the best of luck in your application for licensure being prepared for filing with the Federal Communications Commission.

Sincerely,

MID-OHIO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Carl B. Pry, Authorized Representative

**Attachment** 

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen T. Yelverton, an attorney in the law firm of McNair & Sanford, P.A., do hereby certify that on this 25th day of May, 1993, I have caused to be hand delivered or mailed, U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing "Second Motion to Enlarge Issues Against ASF" to the following:

The Honorable Walter C. Miller\*
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
Room 213
2000 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Shook, Esquire
Hearing Branch
Federal Communications Commission
Room 7212
2025 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Arthur V. Belenduik, Esquire Smithwick & Belenduik, P.C. 1990 M Street, N.W. Suite 510 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for David A. Ringer

James A. Koerner, Esquire Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg, P.C. 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20015-2003 Counsel for ASF Broadcasting Corp.

Eric S. Kravetz, Esquire Brown, Finn & Nietert, Chartered 1920 N Street, N.W. Suite 660 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Wilburn Industries, Inc.

Dennis F. Begley, Esquire
Reddy, Begley & Martin
1001 22nd Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for Westerville Broadcasting Company
Limited Partnership

\*Hand Delivery

Dan J. Alpert, Esquire Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress, Chartered 1250 Connecticut Avenue, M.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Shellee F. Davis

Kyong Ja Matchak 8300 Rockbury Way Sacramento, California 95843

Steplen IV Yelverton